

Archives of Medical Research 48 (2017) 638-652

Archives of Medical Research

# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# Hyperbaric-Oxygen Therapy Improves Survival and Functional Outcome of Acute Severe Intracerebral Hemorrhage

Xiaowei Li,<sup>a</sup> Jingze Li,<sup>b</sup> Xuehui Yang,<sup>a</sup> Zhaosheng Sun,<sup>a</sup> Jinrong Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Wangmiao Zhao,<sup>a</sup> Shuzhi Dong,<sup>c</sup> Cong Li,<sup>c</sup> Yanqiao Ye,<sup>a</sup> Jianchao Chen,<sup>a</sup> Yongqian Li,<sup>a</sup> Yi Xiang,<sup>a</sup> Jianhui Mao,<sup>a</sup> Guangjie Li,<sup>a</sup> Hong Guo,<sup>a</sup> Wenchao Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Hao Guo,<sup>a</sup> Yazhao Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Mingzhe Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Wanzeng Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Zhanyi Xu,<sup>a</sup> Baoshuai Zhao,<sup>a</sup> Jianhui Wei,<sup>a</sup> Gengshui Zhao,<sup>a</sup> Ronghua Ma,<sup>a</sup>

Xiuzhi Shen,<sup>a</sup> Chunyan Ge,<sup>a</sup> Cunling Zheng,<sup>a</sup> Shang Li,<sup>a</sup> and Yan Wang<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, Harrison International Peace Hospital (Hengshui City People's Hospital), Affiliated Hospital of Hebei Medical University, Renmin East Road, Hengshui City, Hebei Province, People's Republic of China

<sup>b</sup>Clinical Medicine Specialty of the First Clinical Medical College, Hebei North University, Changqing Road, Qiaoxi District, Zhangjiakou City, Hebei Province, People's Republic of China

<sup>c</sup>Department of Hyperbaric Medicine, Harrison International Peace Hospital (Hengshui City People's Hospital), Affiliated Hospital of Hebei Medical University, Renmin East Road, Hengshui City, Hebei Province, People's Republic of China

Received for publication October 19, 2017; accepted March 1, 2018 (ARCMED-D-17-00598).

Background. Prognosis of spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) remains poor worldwide.

Aims of the study. To investigate the effect and optimal protocol for hyperbaric-oxygen therapy (HBOT), and reduce incidence of upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGIB) in ICH.

*Methods.* This prospective, randomized, controlled trial included 565 patients with acute severe ICH. Participants were randomly assigned to a sham-control group (Group A) and four intervention groups: Groups B and C with 2.0 atmospheres absolute (ATA) pressure and HBOT exposure for 60 or 90 sessions, respectively; and Groups D and E with 1.5 ATA for 60 or 90 sessions, respectively. All patients received emergency craniotomy with hematoma evacuation. Outcome measures were modified Barthel Index (MBI) and modified Rankin Scale (mRS) scores, mortality rates at follow-up six months. UGIB rates were assessed as potential side effect.

*Results.* In four intervention groups, MBI and mRS scores were all significantly improved, and mortality rates were all significantly decreased compared with Group A (all p < 0.005). UGIB rates were 39.25, 60.00, 64.49, 36.79, and 34.26% in Groups A, B, C, D, and E, respectively. UGIB rates in Groups B and C were significantly increased compared with Groups A, D and E (all p < 0.005). None of UGIB were clinically significant.

*Conclusions.* HBOT significantly improves survival and functional outcomes of ICH. HBOT at 1.5 and 2.0 ATA had the same beneficial effect. A pressure of 1.5 ATA and 60 HBOT exposures represents an optimal protocol for HBOT. Further studies are needed to optimize the protocol per specific patient. © 2018 IMSS. Published by Elsevier Inc.

*Key Words:* Acute severe hypertensive intracerebral hemorrhage, Basal ganglia area, Craniotomy or decompressive craniectomy, Evacuation of hematoma, Hyperbaric-oxygen therapy, Upper gastrointestinal bleeding.

Address reprint requests to: Xiaowei Li, Department of Neurosurgery, Harrison International Peace Hospital (Hengshui City People's Hospital), Affiliated Hospital of Hebei Medical University, No. 180, Renmin East Road, Hengshui City, Hebei Province, 053000, People's Republic of China; Phone: 86-0318-2181061; FAX: 86-0318-2123835; E-mail: lxwljz@163.com

0188-4409/\$ - see front matter. Copyright © 2018 IMSS. Published by Elsevier Inc. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arcmed.2018.03.001

# Introduction

Spontaneous, nontraumatic acute intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) is the most devastating, most lethal and most disabling type of stroke. This crucially important neurological and neurosurgical emergency affects several million people worldwide each year (1). Less than half (46%) of patients with ICH survive 1 year and less than a third (29%) survive 5 years (2).

Hyperbaric-oxygen therapy (HBOT) has been of increasing scientific interest. The primary focus of HBOT research fields has been stroke (3). For ICH, there have been fewer studies on HBOT. Several years ago, we initiated research on the use of HBOT for postoperative ICH patients. We found that HBOT was beneficial to the functional outcome, but the incidence of upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGIB) or "stress ulcer bleeding" (SUB) was increased (4). In order to provide an adjunctive therapeutic method to effectively reduce the rates of disability and mortality of ICH patients, we conducted a prospective trial from January 2005–February 2015. In this trial, we investigated the effectiveness, appropriate length of therapy and appropriate pressure of HBOT in ICH patients, and how to reduce the incidence of UGIB.

#### **Materials and Methods**

## Study Participants and Location

The data were obtained for patients admitted to the Department of Neurosurgery, Harrison International Peace Hospital (a third grade, first-class general hospital), which is affiliated to Hebei Medical University in Hengshui City, Hebei Province, People's Republic of China.

The study included 565 consecutive patients with acute severe hypertensive basal ganglia hemorrhage who received emergency craniotomy or decompressive craniectomy with simultaneous evacuation of hematoma immediately after admission. Participants were patients with a first stroke. The study protocol was approved by the Academic Committee and Ethics Committee of the Harrison International Peace Hospital. Written informed consent was provided by each participant or their legal surrogate prior to inclusion in the study, and this study was performed in accordance with the ethical standards adopted in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. Eligible participants attended clinic visits or were followed-up at the time of randomization (baseline) and at 2 month intervals for 6 months.

# Inclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) eligible patients were at least 18 years of age; (2) diagnosis was consistent with the diagnostic criteria for hypertensive intracerebral hemorrhage revised by the Fourth National Cerebrovascular Disease Conference (China) (5); (3) diagnosis was supported by computed tomography (CT) scan or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), with location of hematoma in the basal ganglia area and hematoma volumes at least 50 cm<sup>3</sup> (a "poor-outcome threshold") (6) on admission; (4) patients with intracerebral hematoma occurring in only one location, namely the hemorrhage located on only one side of the basal ganglia region; (5) hospital admission within 6 h of symptom onset; (6) patients had a previous history of hypertension and systolic blood pressure (SBP) on admission was not higher than 220 mmHg; (7) vital signs were stable.

#### Exclusion Criteria

The first priority was to exclude absolute contraindications and relative contraindications for HBOT: (1) tension pneumothorax without treatment, bullous lung disease; (2) external ventricular drainage; (3) obstructive airway or restrictive airway disease, such as asthma, or emphysema with carbon dioxide retention; (4) heart rate below 50 beats per min, or electrocardiogram showed II degree and greater atrioventricular block; (5) high myopia, or any optic nerve or retinal disorder; (6) unstable seizure disorders; (7) Graves's disease or any thyroid disorder being treated with thyroid hormone and increased the metabolic rate; and (8) high fever (body temperature  $\geq 39^{\circ}$ C).

In addition, patients with the following conditions were excluded from the trial: (1) multiple localized ICH; (2) secondary ICH (for example, secondary to arteriovenous malformation, intracranial aneurysm, or tumor); (3) respiratory and circulatory failure; (4) severe heart, lung, liver, kidney and other major organ dysfunction; (5) medical history of nervous system diseases with neurological dysfunction sequelae; (6) significant mental retardation, psychoses, or other diseases affecting the patient's mental state; (7) recent history of trauma (especially fracture of the skull base with cerebrospinal fluid leakage) within three months; (8) cancer, autoimmune disorder, hemorrhagic disease, or tendency to bleed; (9) history of peptic ulcer; (10) pregnancy; and (11) membership in a transient population.

#### Trial Design

The study was a prospective single-center, double-blind, parallel-group-design, randomized sham-controlled trial.

#### Sample Size, Patient Randomization and Blinding

The following formula was used to determine the total sample size:  $n = (t^2 PQ)/d^2$ . With an admissible error of 10%, n equals 400 (Q/P). According to prior research, because the incidence of UGIB (P) was 46.114% (89 of 193 patients) (4), n equaled 468 for this trial. Given an anticipated dropout rate of 10–20%, approximately 562 patients were required to be enrolled in the trial. Taking into account the

equal proportion grouping, a total sample size of approximately 565 patients was necessary. The patients were randomly assigned to one of the five parallel and equallysized groups (in 1:1:1:1:1 ratio). There were 113 cases in each of the five groups: Groups A, B, C, D and E. Recruitment of this number of patients required a 10 year study period.

Consecutive patients enrolled in the study were numbered according to their order of admission. To randomize the 565 ICH patients into 5 parallel-groups, their serial numbers were entered into the Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS) statistical package by an investigator with no clinical involvement in the trial and a randomization sequence was created. The patients were then randomly assigned to five parallel groups. The time of enrollment and randomization was the admission time. The allocation sequence was concealed from the researcher enrolling and assessing participants in sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed, stapled envelopes. According to admission order number, the corresponding envelopes were opened only after the enrolled participants had undergone all baseline assessments and it was time to allocate the intervention. Only hyperbaric chamber operators and the technologists who manipulated each patient's breathing mixture were aware of the allocated treatment arm. Participants and their family members, physicians, nurse practitioners and those assessing the outcomes of the trial, such as outpatient doctors or community doctors and data analysts, were kept blind to the treatment allocation.

#### General Management of Spontaneous ICH

Following hospital admission, maintained airway patency, stabilize respiration and circulation immediately, using mechanical ventilation if necessary. Confirm the diagnoses of ICH by emergent CT scan or MRI. Follow emergent neurosurgical consultation with rapid admission to a specialized neurosurgical intensive care unit (NSICU).

Control blood pressure to achieve SBP of 140 mmHg within 1 hour of randomization (reduce and maintain SBP in the range of 110–140 mmHg) (7–9). If the patient was using antiplatelet and antithrombotic agents these were discontinued and the effects were promptly reversed with appropriate platelet transfusion (10) and appropriate drugs. Institute active control intracranial hypertension, administer proton pump inhibitors (omeprazole) for stress-ulcer prophylaxis (all patients), prevention of venous thromboembo-lism, and supportive general management.

In view of the fact that most of the patients were at risk for cerebral herniation, all of them received immediate emergency craniotomy (or decompressive craniectomy) with simultaneous evacuation of hematoma completely through a trans-sylvian, transinsular approach. A drainage catheter was placed in the residual cavity. If there was postoperative re-bleeding, a re-craniotomy for hematoma evacuation was performed for patients with a re-bleeding volume exceeding 50 cm<sup>3</sup>. Patients with a small amount of postoperative re-bleeding were treated by infusion of urokinase (fibrinolytic agent) (11,12) into the hematoma residual cavity through the drainage catheter to dissolve and remove the blood clots. A standardized three-stage rehabilitation program was begun as early as possible (13).

We generally initiated HBOT on the eighth day of ICH onset, namely the seventh day after surgery (HBOT was started at week 2 post-stroke).

# Intervention Protocols and Operating Procedures of HBOT

HBOT was administered in an air-filled, multiperson medical hyperbaric chamber. Group A was the sham-control group and other four groups were intervention groups. Treatment pressure of HBOT and the exposure numbers were as follows: Group B 2.0 atmospheres absolute (ATA), 60 pressure exposures (2 cycles of HBOT); Group C 2.0 ATA, 90 pressure exposures (3 cycles of HBOT); Group D 1.5 ATA, 60 pressure exposures; Group E 1.5 ATA, 90 pressure exposures. For detailed procedures, see as follows.

Group A: Exposures had 15 min of uniform compression followed by 70 min of room air inhalation. For patient blinding purposes, patients underwent a brief compression to reach a pressure of 1.34 ATA (5 pounds per square inch, gauge, [psig]) at the initiation of each exposure session. The chamber was then slowly uniformly decompressed from 1.34-1.0 ATA (normal room pressure) within 15 min. Room air (21% oxygen) was breathed in via a tight-fitting face mask, hood, or endotracheal tube with a simulated 10 min air break at 30 min intervals. Patients left the chamber after another 15 min under normal pressure (1.0 ATA), equivalent to the decompression time of the intervention groups. Multiperson chambers were pressurized and decompressed with room air. The period (length of stay) in the chamber at each exposure was 100 min for all patients in the five study groups. A complete intervention cycle comprised 30 exposures: once daily for 5 consecutive days per week (week days only) for a total of 6 consecutive weeks. The cycle was repeated for an intermission of one week (7d). A total of 90 exposures, namely three cycles. The treatment cycle programs were identical for the 5 groups.

Group B: Exposures had 15 min of slow uniform compression after which the chamber was pressurized to reach the desired pressure of 2.0 ATA (treatment pressure) and constant pressure was maintained for 70 min. Pure oxygen (100% oxygen) was breathed in via a tight-fitting face mask, hood, or endotracheal tube twice for 30 min each, and the air in the chamber was breathed in for 10 min in between to reduce oxygen toxicity. Patients were taken out of the chamber after 15 min of slow uniform decompression to reach 1.0 ATA. That is, the total oxygen breathing time in each pressure exposure was 60 min with a 10 min air break at 30 min intervals. There were 60 pressure exposures with hyperbaric-oxygen (two cycles). Other procedures and the third cycle procedure was the same as that in Group A.

Group C: The HBOT procedure was almost the same as that in Group B, but there were 90 pressure exposures with hyperbaric-oxygen (three cycles).

Group D: The HBOT procedure was almost the same as that in Group B, but the treatment pressure was 1.5 ATA. Altogether there were 60 pressure exposures at 1.5 ATA (two cycles).

Group E: The HBOT procedure was almost the same as that in Group C, but the treatment pressure was 1.5 ATA. Altogether there were 90 pressure exposures at 1.5 ATA (three cycles).

HBOT was suspended if there UGIB (or "SUB") occurred. Three different kinds of stress-related mucosal bleeding (namely UGIB) might occur in patients with ICH: occult gastrointestinal bleeding (GIB), overt GIB and clinically important GIB (14–17). For management and controlled (or stopped) criterion of UGIB, see below. UGIB in most of the patients were controlled for 3 or 4 d and the longest controlled time was 5 d, and the HBOT could be continued. Thus, the schemes of HBOT were completed in accordance with the randomly-assigned intervention program.

#### **Outcome Measures**

Outcome measures were mortality rates in four time periods, and overall mortality rates, the modified Barthel Index (MBI) score and the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score at the six month follow-up after randomization of each group. As potential side effect of HBOT, UGIB rates in four time periods and overall UGIB rates at the six month follow-up of each group were assessed. The four time periods were as follows: the first phase (within 7 d onset of ICH); the second phase (weeks 2–8, the first cycle period of HBOT); the third phase (9–15 weeks, the second cycle); the fourth phase (16–21 weeks, the third cycle). The volumes of parenchymal hematoma were estimated using a computerized planimetric method and a simplified formula (Coniglobus formula) for the volume of an ellipsoid: ABC/2.

The predicted primary outcome was that the MBI scores and the mRS scores in the four intervention groups would be different (significantly improved) compared to Group A. The predicted secondary outcome was that the mortality rates in the four intervention groups would all be different (significantly decreased) compared to Group A. We expected that the UGIB rates of patients who received HBOT at the pressure of 2.0 ATA (Groups B and C) would be different (significantly increased) compared to the sham-control group (Group A) and that the UGIB rates of patients who received HBOT at the pressure of 1.5 ATA (Groups D and E) would be similar to Group A. Thus, we could reduce the treatment pressure and shorten the length of HBOT without increasing the rates of UGIB, promote the postoperative functional recovery of ICH patients through HBOT, and also reduce the side effects and risks of higher pressure.

# Management and Controlled Criterion for UGIB

Early and sufficient fluid administration, blood transfusion when really required; adequate therapy to inhibit acid secretion (proton pump inhibitors-omeprazole, all patients). Endoscopic or surgical hemostasis was undertaken, if necessary.

Occult blood test was negative in fasting gastric juice from nasogastric tube or stool for 3 consecutive days.

#### Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis and comparisons were performed using the SPSS 18.0 statistical package (IBM). The MBI scores, mRS scores, number of deaths, and numbers of UGIB case in the 5 groups were analyzed and compared through analysis of variance (ANOVA), the least-significant difference (LSD) *t*-test, the  $\chi^2$  test, and the rank-sum test. Multiple pairwise comparisons increased the chance of a Type I error, namely an increase in the rate of false-positive results. Thus, the level of the tests was adjusted such that  $\alpha' = \alpha/$ the number of comparisons, and because there were 10 pairwise comparisons in the trial, p < 0.005 indicated statistical significance and p < 0.001 indicated a highly significant difference.

# Results

#### Study Participants

The Patient Flow Chart is shown in (Figure 1). A total of 719 patients were screened from January 2005–February 2015, of whom 132 cases ineligible and 16 cases met the inclusion criteria but declined to be enrolled. The remaining 571 patients were eligible and consented to enter the trial, but 6 cases refused surgery. At the end of the pre-planned 10 year period, we reached the goal sample size and 565 eligible patients were successfully registered in the trial.

During the study, HBOT was discontinued in a total of 32 cases in the 5 groups due to noncompliance (intolerance) with trial protocols, or changes in the patient's condition (such as worsening of neurological status). Thus, the numbers of patients unsuitable to continue HBOT and terminated were: 6, 8, 6, 7 and 5 patients, respectively, from Groups A, B, C, D and E. By further excluding deaths, the total number of patients who completed the full course of HBOT according to the prearranged protocol was 445 of the initial 565 cases: 71, 90, 90, 97 and 97 in Groups A, B, C, D and E, respectively. We followed all surviving



Figure 1. Patient Flow Chart. ATA, atmospheres absolute; HBOT, hyperbaric-oxygen therapy; UGIB, upper gastrointestinal bleeding.

patients for 6 months as planned, and no further cases were lost.

Among the 250 patients with UGIB there was only one bleeding episode. No patients experienced repeated bleeding. In addition, during the period after the end of three cycles of HBOT to 6 months postoperatively when the overall outcome was evaluated, there were no UGIB cases and death cases in the 5 groups.

The baseline data for each group are shown in (Table 1). The baseline characteristics were balanced between the 5 groups.

#### Per-Protocol Analysis

The per-protocol analysis included 533 patients: Group A, 107; Group B, 105; Group C, 107; Group D, 106; and Group E, 108. The number of cases of UGIB and deaths in the four time periods in each group are shown in detail in (Table 2). UGIB occurred in a total of 250 of 533 (46.904%) patients. Death occurred in 88 (16.510%) of 533 patients. The MBI and the mRS scores in each group are shown in (Table 2 and Figure 2). The detailed results of the statistical analysis and multiple comparisons of UGIB incidence, mortality rates, and the two kinds of scores are shown in (Tables 2 and 3). There were significant differences among the 5 groups in the UGIB rates and mortality rates within the second phase (the first cycle period of HBOT), as well as the total UGIB rates, total mortality rates, MBI scores, and mRS scores (Tables 2 and 3) detailly. The total mortality rates in the 5 groups are presented in (Figure 3).

# Intention-To-Treat Analysis

The intention-to-treat analysis included all 565 patients enrolled in the trial, 113 patients in each of the five groups. UGIB was considered to have occurred in the cases of the HBOT termination in which noncompliance (intolerance) or changes in the patient's condition made it unsuitable to continue HBOT, and the time of exclusion was considered to be the time at which UGIB occurred. MBI and mRS scores at the time of exclusion were used for statistical analysis. In Group A there were 6 of 113 cases; 2 of these patients survived and 4 died. In Group B, there were 8 of 113 cases; 5 survived and 3 died. In Group D, there were 7 of 113 cases; 4 survived and 3 died. Finally, in Group E, there were 5 of 113 cases; 2 survived and 3 died.

There were a total of 282 UGIB cases (49.912%) in the 565 patients. The total number of deaths in the 5 groups was 104 (18.407%) of 565 patients. The numbers of cases of UGIB and deaths in the four time periods in each group, and total cases of UGIB and deaths are shown in detail in (Table 4). The MBI and the mRS scores in each group are shown in (Table 4 and Figure 2). The detailed results of statistical analysis and multiple comparisons of UGIB

incidence, mortality rates, MBI and mRS scores are shown in (Tables 4 and 5). There were significant differences among the 5 groups in the UGIB and mortality rates within the second phase (the first cycle period of HBOT), as well as the total UGIB rates, total mortality rates, MBI scores, and mRS scores. For details, (Tables 4 and 5). The total mortality rates in the 5 groups are shown in (Figure 3).

# Outcomes

The primary outcome was the MBI and mRS scores obtained at the 6 month postoperative follow-up in Groups B, C, D and E were significantly improved compared with Group A; about 13–19 points higher in MBI scores, and about 0.8–1.2 points lower in mRS scores. There were no significant between-group differences in Groups B, C, D and E. For the MBI and mRS scores, Groups B and C had the superior trend compared with Groups D and E. Compared to Group B, Group C had the superior trend, and compared to Group D, Group E had the superior trend.

The secondary outcome was the mortality rates within Phase 2 and the total mortality rates at the 6 month postoperative follow-up. Rates in Groups B, C, D and E were all significantly decreased compared with Group A. A decrease approximately 17-25% in the total mortality rates. There were no significant between-group differences among Groups B, C, D and E in the mortality rates within Phase 2 and the total mortality rates. Within the Phase 1, Phase 3 and Phase 4, all mortality rates were not significantly different among the five groups.

As potential side effect of HBOT, the UGIB rates within the second phase and the total UGIB rates in Groups B and C were significantly increased compared to those in Groups A, D and E, an increase of approximately 20% in the total UGIB rates. There were no significant between-group differences in Groups B and C, and among Groups A, D and E. Within the first phase, the third and fourth phase, UGIB rates were not significantly different among the five groups. Clinically important GIB resulting in hemodynamic instability never occurred during HBOT.

#### Adverse Effects and Risk of HBOT

Common side effects and risks of HBOT mainly include barotrauma, central nervous system- and pulmonary oxygen toxicity, claustrophobia, anxiety and visual disturbances (18); in addition, decompression illness, pneumothorax, and bradycardia and so on. None of these events occurred during HBOT in the current trial.

Two patients experienced grand mal epileptic seizures before entering the hyperbaric chamber, and HBOT was terminated before completing the intervention protocol. These events were considered to be related to emotion and the brain damage resulting from ICH rather than HBOT-related oxygen toxicity. Five female patients occasionally sweated more during exposures, but there was no

	Table 1.	Baseline	characteristics	of study	participants
--	----------	----------	-----------------	----------	--------------

Characteristic	Group A aracteristic (N = 113)		Group AGroup Bteristic $(N = 113)$ $(N = 113)$		Group C ( <i>N</i> = 113)	Group D ( <i>N</i> = 113)	Group E ( $N = 113$ )	Values of statistics	р
Time from onset of ICH to	randomization (hours) <sup>a</sup>	_			_	0.363	0.835		
Median (IOR: range)	4.00	4.00	4.00 (3.00-5.00: 1-6)	4.00	4.00				
	(3.00-5.00: 1-6)	(3.00-5.00: 1-6)	(2.000 2.000, 2.00)	(3.00-5.00: 1-6)	(3.00-5.00: 1-6)				
Age (years) <sup>a</sup>	(5100 5100, 1 0)	(5100 5100, 1 0)		(2100 2100, 1 0)	(5100 5100, 1 0)	0.489	0.744		
Median (IOR: range)	58.00	58.00	59.00 (52.00-67.00: 38-91)	60.00	59.00				
(1211, 141ge)	(52, 50-65, 00; 23-92)	(50.00-66.00:28-91)		(50, 50-66, 00; 35-95)	(53,00-66,00: 28-83)				
Male sex $(N, \mathscr{G})^{b}$	63 (55.75)	66 (58 41)	73 (64 60)	68 (60.18)	70 (61.95)	1.796	0.773		
SBP (mmHg) <sup>a</sup>	05 (55.75)	00 (00.11)	/5 (01.00)	00 (00.10)	/0 (01.55)	0.465	0.761		
Median (IOR: range)	176.00	180.00	178.00	176.00	175.00	0.105	0.701		
ivicatian (rQrt, range)	$(162\ 00-198\ 00^{\circ}\ 140-220)$	(163.00-200.00:141-220)	(161.00-203.00:142-220)	$(158\ 00-199\ 50:\ 141-220)$	$(161\ 00-194\ 00:\ 140-220)$				
DBP (mmHg) <sup>a</sup>	(102.00 190.00, 110 220)	(103.00 200.00, 111 220)	(101.00 203.00, 112 220)	(150.00 1)).50, 111 220)	(101.00 1) 1.00, 110 220)	0.300	0.878		
Median (IOR: range)	108.00	110.00	108.00	108.00	108.00	0.200	0.070		
ivicului (iQit, iuigo)	$(101\ 00-124\ 50^{\circ}\ 90-149)$	$(102\ 50-122\ 00^{\circ}\ 91-150)$	$(101\ 50-120\ 50^{\circ}\ 91-148)$	(98.00-121.00:90-149)	(100.50 - 121.00, 90 - 150)				
GCS scores <sup>a</sup>	(101.00 121.00, 90 119)	(102.30 122.00, 71 130)	(101.50 120.50, 91 110)	(50.00 121.00, 50 115)	(100.30 121.00, 70 130)	0 4 5 8	0 766		
Median (IOR: range)	9.00	9.00	9.00(5.50-12.00:3-15)	9.00	8.00	0.150	0.700		
ivicului (iQit, iuigo)	(5.00-12.00: 3-15)	(5.00-12.00: 3-15)	9.00 (9.50 12.00, 5 15)	(6.00-12.00: 3-15)	(6.00-11.00: 3-15)				
NIHSS score <sup>a</sup>	(0.000 12:00, 0 10)	(0100 12:00, 0 10)		(0.00 12.00, 0 10)	(0.000 11100, 0 10)	0 581	0.676		
Median (IOR: range)	11.00	10.00	10.00(5.00-14.50:0-42)	10.00	9.00	0.501	0.070		
(1211, 141ge)	(6.50-14.50; 0-42)	$(5\ 50-14\ 00^{\circ}\ 0-41)$	10100 (2100 11120, 0 12)	$(4\ 00-14\ 00^{\circ}\ 0-42)$	$(4\ 00-14\ 00^{\circ}\ 0-42)$				
History of hypertension	(0.50 11.50, 0 12)	(5.56 11.66, 6 11)		(1.00 11.00, 0 12)	(1.00 11.00, 0 12)	0.378	0.824		
(vears) <sup>a</sup>						0.070	0.02		
Median (IOR; range)	8.00	8.00	9.00 (4.00-15.00; 1-25)	9.00	9.00				
	(3.50 - 13.00; 1 - 24)	(4.00 - 14.50; 1 - 24)		(4.00-14.00; 1-24)	(4.00 - 13.50; 1 - 25)				
Diabetes mellitus (N. %) <sup>b</sup>	35 (30.97)	37 (32.74)	33 (29.20)	39 (34.51)	31 (27.43)	1.653	0.799		
Hematoma volume $(cm^3)^a$						0.548	0.701		
Median (IOR: range)	83.00	81.00	78.00 (65.00-95.50; 50-138)	76.00	76.00				
	(66.00 - 95.00; 50 - 140)	(66.00 - 94.50; 50 - 139)	,	(63.50-94.50; 50-140)	(65.50-98.50; 50-138)				
Intraventricular extension $(N, \%)^{b}$	54 (47.79)	56 (49.56)	58 (51.33)	48 (42.48)	51 (45.13)	2.240	0.692		
Left side of hematoma <sup>b</sup>	57 (50.44)	62 (54.87)	55 (48.67)	59 (52.21)	52 (46.02)	2.050	0.727		

DBP, diastolic blood pressure; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; IQR, interquartile range; N, number patients; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

For continuous variables, data were median (IQR; range); for categorical variables, data were number (%).

<sup>a</sup>Analysis of variance (ANOVA), Statistic is *F*.

Descargado para Anonymous User (n/a) en National Autonomous University of Mexico de ClinicalKey.es por Elsevier en mayo 16, 2020. Para uso personal exclusivamente. No se permiten otros usos sin autorización. Copyright ©2020. Elsevier Inc. Todos los derechos reservados.

<sup>b</sup>Rank-sum test (Kruskal-Wallis test), Statistic is *H*.

Characteristic	Group A ( $N = 107$ )	Group B ( $N = 105$ )	Group C ( $N = 107$ )	Group D ( $N = 106$ )	Group E ( $N = 108$ )	Values of
The first week $(N)^{a}$						
UGIB	11	10	9	12	9	0.7
Death	5	4	4	2	3	1.4
Weeks $2-8 (N)^{a}$						
UGIB	14	31	34	10	13	31.6
Death	23	6	8	5	4	30.0
Weeks $9-15 (N)^{a}$						
UGIB	9	12	14	11	8	2.3
Death	4	3	4	1	2	3.3
Weeks $16-21 (N)^{a}$						
UGIB	8	10	12	6	7	3.7
Death	4	2	1	1	2	4.5
6 months (total $N, \%$ ) <sup>a</sup>						
UGIB	42/107 (39.25)	63/105 (60.00)	69/107 (64.49)	39/106 (36.79)	37/108 (34.26)	34.3
Death	36/107 (33.64)	15/105 (14.29)	17/107 (15.89)	9/106 (8.49)	11/108 (10.19)	31.2
MBI scores <sup>b</sup>						6.
Mean $\pm$ SD (95% CI)	$38.27 \pm 24.598$	$55.48 \pm 28.096$	$57.50 \pm 27.813$	$51.79 \pm 25.913$	$53.23 \pm 25.532$	
	(32.45, 44.09)	(49.59, 61.36)	(51.67, 63.33)	(46.57, 57.02)	(48.08, 58.37)	
Median (IQR; range)	34.00	54.00	54.00	52.00	52.00	
	(20.00-52.00; 1-96)	(34.00-80.25; 2-100)	(34.00-86.50; 3-100)	(34.00-73.50; 2-100)	(34.00-75.00; 2-100)	
mRS scores <sup>b</sup>						9.7
Mean $\pm$ SD (95% CI)	$4.47\pm1.449$	$3.38 \pm 1.717$	$3.24 \pm 1.747$	$3.58 \pm 1.498$	$3.45 \pm 1.608$	
	(4.19, 4.75)	(3.05, 3.71)	(2.91, 3.58)	(3.30, 3.87)	(3.15, 3.76)	
Median (IQR; range)	5.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	
	(4.00-6.00; 1-6)	(2.00-5.00; 0-6)	(2.00-4.00; 0-6)	(3.00-5.00; 0-6)	(2.00-5.00; 0-6)	

alysis)

р

0.939

0.828

0.000

0.000

0.666

0.499

0.440

0.332

0.000

0.000

0.000

0.000



**Figure 2.** MBI scores and mRS scores at the 6 month follow-up after randomization. Simple bar charts (Mean with SD) show centralization trend and dispersion degree of data, box-and-whisker plots show data distributions: (A and B) per-protocol analysis; (C and D) intention-to-treat analysis. The *p* values indicated that there were significant differences among the population means of 5 groups. MBI, modified Barthel Index; mRS, modified Rankin Scale; SD, standard deviation.

Table 3	Further	statistical	analysis	in	nairwise	comparisons	(Per-Protocol	analysis)
Table 5.	1 ununer	statistical	unary 515	111	pair wise	comparisons		anarysis

		Intergroup comparison								
Characteristic	A & B	A & C	A & D	A & E	B & C	B & D	B & E	C & D	C & E	D & E
Weeks 2–8 UGIB rate <sup>a</sup>										
$\chi^2$	8.468	10.628	0.845	0.082	0.126	14.227	10.277	16.921	12.651	0.408
p (two-sided)	0.004	0.002	0.392	0.838	0.765	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.659
Weeks 2–8 mortality rate <sup>a</sup>										
$\chi^2$	11.432	8.725	13.801	16.019	0.266	0.130	0.506	0.770	1.498	0.126
p (two-sided)	0.001	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.783	0.767	0.532	0.407	0.249	0.748
Total UGIB rate <sup>a</sup>										
$\chi^2$	9.126	13.645	0.137	0.577	0.454	11.377	14.163	16.339	19.647	0.150
p (two-sided)	0.004	0.000	0.778	0.481	0.571	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.775
Total mortality rate <sup>a</sup>										
$\chi^2$	10.871	9.054	20.220	17.317	0.106	1.757	0.835	2.719	1.543	0.181
p (two-sided)	0.001	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.848	0.201	0.407	0.142	0.231	0.815
MBI scores <sup>b</sup>										
LSD-t	-17.210	-19.232	-13.526	-14.959	-2.022	3.684	2.251	5.706	4.273	-1.433
р	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.609	0.342	0.562	0.142	0.271	0.706
mRS scores <sup>b</sup>										
LSD-t	1.086	1.224	0.882	1.014	0.138	-0.204	-0.073	-0.342	-0.211	0.131
р	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.533	0.357	0.741	0.121	0.337	0.551

LSD, least-significant difference; MBI, modified Barthel Index; mRS, modified Rankin Scale; UGIB, upper gastrointestinal bleeding.  ${}^{a}\chi^{2}$  Tests.

<sup>b</sup>Analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Descargado para Anonymous User (n/a) en National Autonomous University of Mexico de ClinicalKey.es por Elsevier en mayo 16, 2020. Para uso personal exclusivamente. No se permiten otros usos sin autorización. Copyright ©2020. Elsevier Inc. Todos los derechos reservados.



Figure 3. Mortality rates in five groups at the 6 month follow-up after randomization. (A) per-protocol analysis; (B) intention-to-treat analysis.

significant effect on HBOT. Some patients who had undergone decompressive craniectomy had bone-window depression or swelling, but there was no obvious adverse reaction.

# Discussion

ICH remains a significant cause of disability and mortality throughout the world. Despite considerable research effort, progress has been slow in finding a safe and widely available acute treatment for ICH that reduces stroke-related disability (19) and treatment at present remains mainly supportive (20). ICH accounted for a larger proportion of strokes in Chinese than whites (33 vs. 12%) (21). The incidence rate of ICH in China is much higher than the worldwide incidence (66.2 vs. 24.6 per 100 000 person-years) (22,23). Therefore, ICH is an important disease endangering the health of individuals worldwide, especially the Chinese. Thus, further research on therapeutic measures poses a challenging clinical and public health problem for neurosurgeons and neurologists.

The essential base of the recovery after acute brain injury was stunned brain regions that are dysfunctional but sublethal in the vicinity of ICH. These non-active (dormant) regions may remain dysfunctional for 6-9 months postictus, or even longer (24). Repair/regeneration mechanisms are all energy/oxygen dependent. HBOT enables the necessary metabolic changes by supplying the missing energy/oxygen needed for regeneration (25).

HBOT can significantly increase the oxygen partial pressure of brain tissue, improve oxygen supply and tissue oxygenation (26-28). However, the effects of hyperbaricoxygenation cannot be explained simply as a compensation of the oxygen deficit. Hyperbaric-oxygen has a variety of other, much broader and more complex mechanisms of action. The clinical efficacy of hyperbaric-oxygen derives from modulation of intracellular transduction cascades, alteration of protein expression, and modulation of signaling pathways that affect vascular structure and function, lead to synthesis of growth factors, promote wound post-ischemic healing and ameliorate and postinflammatory injuries (29,30).

HBOT has obvious curative effect on all of the multiple steps along the brain injury path caused by ICH, such as reduce microglia activation (31); inhibit excessive release of excitatory amino acid (32); improve mitochondrial function (in both neurons and glial cells) and cellular metabolism, reduce neuronal apoptosis, alleviate oxidative stress, promote blood-brain barrier integrity, relieve cerebral edema and decrease intracranial pressure (33,34); attenuated neuroinflammatory processes (35,36); maintain the balance between oxidative and antioxidant systems in brain tissue (37).

It is worth emphasizing that HBOT also has a even further neuroprotective effect. Including effectively operate and activate neural plasticity (reactivations of neuronal activity in the stunned areas; inhibition of Nogo-A, an endogenous growth-inhibitory factor; creation of new synapses and new axonal connections, and so on); lead to neovascularization, induce cerebral angiogenesis and improve both white and gray microstructures indicating regeneration of nerve fibers (25,29,33,38,39). Neuroplasticity was thought to be a substrate for recovery after brain damage (40). HBOT can promote neurogenesis of the endogenous neural stem cells (in the mature human brain, the presence of stem cells has been found and neuropoiesis is an established phenomenon) (34,41,42); promote more stem/progenitor cells production and mobilization from the bone marrow of humans into the systemic circulation, and home to injuries and accelerate healing (peripheral stem cells were known to cross the blood-brain barrier into the brain and formed new neurons, astrocytes, and microglia); stimulate neurogenesis, orchestrated gliosis and trophic factor production (35,43-46); and so on.

Characteristic	Group A $(N = 113)$	Group B ( $N = 113$ )	Group C ( $N = 113$ )	Group D ( $N = 113$ )	Group E ( $N = 113$ )	Values of statistics	p
The first week $(N)^{a}$							
UGIB	11	10	9	12	9	0.733	0.947
Death	5	4	4	2	3	1.492	0.828
Weeks $2-8 (N)^{a}$							
UGIB	16	35	37	13	15	30.742	0.000
Death	25	7	9	5	6	30.094	0.000
Weeks 9–15 $(N)^{a}$							
UGIB	12	15	16	13	10	2.221	0.695
Death	5	4	6	3	3	2.456	0.653
Weeks $16-21 (N)^{a}$							
UGIB	9	11	13	8	8	2.812	0.590
Death	5	3	1	2	2	5.432	0.246
6 months (total							
$N, \%)^{a}$							
UGIB	48/113 (42.48)	71/113 (62.83)	75/113 (66.37)	46/113 (40.71)	42/113 (37.17)	33.459	0.000
Death	40/113 (35.40)	18/113 (15.93)	20/113 (17.70)	12/113 (10.62)	14/113 (12.39)	29.509	0.000
MBI scores <sup>b</sup>						5.870	0.000
Mean $\pm$ SD	$37.81 \pm 24.473$	$54.07 \pm 28.179$	$56.47 \pm 27.983$	$50.56 \pm 26.195$	$52.57 \pm 25.719$		
(95% CI)	(32.10, 43.52)	(48.33, 59.81)	(50.71, 62.24)	(45.39, 55.74)	(47.44, 57.70)		
Median (IQR;	32.00	53.00	54.00	51.00	52.00		
range)	(19.50-51.50; 1-96)	(33.00-78.00; 2-100)	(34.00-86.00; 3-100)	(33.00-69.50; 2-100)	(34.00-74.00; 2-100)		
mRS scores <sup>b</sup>						9.395	0.000
Mean $\pm$ SD	$4.52 \pm 1.440$	$3.49 \pm 1.722$	$3.35 \pm 1.767$	$3.68 \pm 1.513$	$3.54 \pm 1.631$		
(95% CI)	(4.25, 4.79)	(3.17, 3.81)	(3.02, 3.67)	(3.40, 3.96)	(3.24, 3.84)		
Median (IQR;	5.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00		
range)	(4.00-6.00; 1-6)	(2.00-5.00; 0-6)	(2.00-5.00; 0-6)	(3.00-5.00; 0-6)	(2.00-5.00; 0-6)		

Table 4. Primary and secondary outcomes and preliminary statistical analysis (Intention-to-Treat analysis)

CI, confidence intervals; IQR, interquartile range; MBI, modified Barthel Index; mRS, modified Rankin Scale; N, number patients; SD, standard deviation; UGIB, upper gastrointestinal bleeding.  ${}^{a}\chi^{2}$  Tests, Statistic is  $\chi^{2}$ .

<sup>b</sup>Analysis of variance (ANOVA), Statistic is F.

	Intergroup comparison									
Characteristic	A & B	A & C	A & D	A & E	B & C	B & D	B & E	C & D	C & E	D & E
Weeks 2–8 UGIB rate <sup>a</sup>										
$\chi^2$	9.026	10.755	0.459	0.062	0.083	13.415	10.605	15.480	12.471	0.185
p (two-sided)	0.004	0.001	0.553	0.848	0.886	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.691
Weeks 2–8 mortality rate <sup>a</sup>										
$\chi^2$	12.072	9.104	16.094	13.986	0.270	0.392	0.092	1.300	0.674	0.105
p (two-sided)	0.001	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.796	0.567	0.784	0.282	0.437	0.768
Total UGIB rate <sup>a</sup>										
$\chi^2$	9.389	13.004	0.073	0.665	0.310	11.076	14.885	14.960	19.299	0.298
p (two-sided)	0.003	0.000	0.893	0.497	0.677	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.682
Total mortality rate <sup>a</sup>										
$\chi^2$	11.226	9.076	19.583	16.449	0.127	1.384	0.582	2.330	1.246	0.174
p (two-sided)	0.001	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.859	0.327	0.568	0.181	0.352	0.835
MBI scores <sup>b</sup>										
LSD-t	-16.265	-18.665	-12.756	-14.757	-2.399	3.509	1.508	5.909	3.907	-2.001
р	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.537	0.357	0.693	0.123	0.310	0.595
mRS scores <sup>b</sup>										
LSD-t	1.035	1.177	0.841	0.982	0.142	-0.195	-0.053	-0.336	-0.195	0.142
р	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.511	0.367	0.805	0.119	0.367	0.511

	E .1		1		•	(T · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 • \
	Hurther	etatietical	analysis in	nairwice	comparisons	(Intention_to_Treat	211211/0101
Table 5.	1 ununer	statistical	anarysis m	pan wise	comparisons	(Intention-to-ricat	anaiy 515)
			~				

LSD, least-significant difference; MBI, modified Barthel Index; mRS, modified Rankin Scale; UGIB, upper gastrointestinal bleeding.

 ${}^{a}\chi^{2}$  Tests.

<sup>b</sup>Analysis of variance (ANOVA).

The landmark investigation of Holbach KH et al. established the ideal HBOT pressure at 1.5 ATA (47). Breathing 100% oxygen at excess pressure (such as 2.4 ATA) should generate very high oxygen levels in tissues, which can cause an inhibitory effect or even focal toxicity (33). Therefore, we only carried out our trial with two pressures (1.5 ATA and 2.0 ATA).

The minimal pressure for the patients to sense a pressure increase was 1.3 atmospheres (33,48). Experienced divers cannot discriminate chamber pressures of 1.2 and 1.5 ATA nor if they are breathing air or oxygen (49). In our study design, patients in the sham-control group underwent a brief compression to reach a pressure of 1.34 ATA and then slowly decompressed to normal pressure. This not only ensured the implementation of blinding, but also reduced the impact of increased pressure on the results of the study as far as possible.

#### Further Explanation of Principal Findings

a) HBOT increases parasympathetic (vagal) activity in a dose-dependent manner (50,51). This induces gastric hypercontractility, which might result in excessive mechanical rubbing of gastric mucosa (52) and effectively reduce or stop gastric mucosal blood flow by mechanical compression, causing mechanical breaks at fold points in the gastric epithelium (53,54) as well as gastric hypersecretion of acid and pepsin. This might promote the occurrence of UGIB. During Phase 2, combined with the patients were in high stress states, thus, an increase in the rate of UGIB was

inevitable. After the pressure was reduced, the degree of gastric hypersecretion and gastric hypermotility due to HBOT was reduced accordingly. This might be the reason why there was no increase in the rate of UGIB in the 1.5 ATA groups during Phase 2.

- b) With the prolongation of time, after the stress states had gradually relieved, namely during the second and the third cycle period of HBOT, the UGIB rates in the intervention groups were not increased. It could be explained that the gastric acid hypersecretion and gastric hypermotility due to the single HBOT were not enough to cause UGIB, the stimulation intensity of the vagus nerve by HBOT was limited. This further illustrates that UGIB as a potential side effect of HBOT is of minor.
- c) There was a lower risk of clinically important GIB caused by HBOT, but this possibility could not be completely ruled out. Therefore, for patients with severe ICH, the application of a pressure of 2.0 ATA or higher should be avoided as far as possible during HBOT. Especially during Phase 2, treatment pressure should be appropriately reduced.
- d) HBOT could significantly improve survival and functional outcomes in ICH patients after open cranial surgery. The improvement of neurological function scores was not significantly different between 1.5 ATA and 2.0 ATA groups, but the outcome at the pressure of 2.0 ATA showed a superior trend compared with 1.5 ATA. These were consistent with the report of Eschenfelder CC et al. that the neuroprotective effect of hyperbaric-oxygenation was dose dependent

(55). It also suggested that the efficacy of HBOT should be achieved through repeated and relatively long-term treatment. There were no significant difference between 60 and 90 pressure exposures at pressures of 1.5 ATA and 2.0 ATA. Therefore the pressure of 1.5 ATA and 60 pressure exposures can be used as one of the optimal protocols for HBOT.

Although the current trial focused on postoperative ICH patients, our findings bear the promise that HBOT may serve as a valuable adjuvant therapeutic practice in ischemic stroke and traumatic brain injury and other neurological disorders. However, further study is necessary.

# About the UGIB

HBOT plays a dual role in the occurrence of UGIB. On the one hand, HBOT increases vagal activity (50,51). This induces gastric hypersecretion and gastric hypercontractility, which might promote the occurrence of UGIB. On the other hand, HBOT could increase tissue oxygen delivery, increase gastric juice prostaglandin E levels close to normal values (56), and reduce sympathetic activity (57). These effects reduce splanchnic vasoconstriction, improve the gastrointestinal mucosal ischemia, hypoxia and protective defenses. Therefore, HBOT could also protect the gastrointestinal mucosa and promote ulcer healing. In the current study cohort which is at increased risk for UGIB there an increase rate of UGIB in the groups that received 2.0 ATA, but this increase was mild and none of the hemorrhage were serious.

#### Study Limitations

First, clinical trials in human are not the same as animal studies. In the clinical setting, HBOT is almost never given soon after ictus. The delay in the implementation of HBOT may alter the therapeutic effect of HBOT. Second, the sham-control design presents difficulties. For example, the true sham-control should be that the patients are placed in the hyperbaric chamber, the chamber is not compressed, but patients cannot detect pressure fluctuations at normal pressure setting, the blinding purpose for patients could not be implemented. Some studies have simulated the effects at normal pressure by breathing air with lower than a normal oxygen level at higher pressure (58). This involved ethical issues and the effects of pressure. Thus, the control design of the current trial was a more reasonable scheme.

However, further research is necessary and the efficacy at a pressure between 1.5-2.0 ATA, such as 1.8 ATA or 1.75 ATA and other pressures should also be studied. A stepwise pressure treatment protocol could also be carried out, such as 1.5 ATA during the first treatment cycle and 2.0 ATA during the second cycle.

#### Conclusions

HBOT could significantly improve survival and functional outcome (prognosis) of patients with acute severe hypertensive basal ganglia hemorrhage after surgery. HBOT is safe and can be used for ICH during the acute/sub-acute phase. The clinical benefit of 1.5 ATA was the same as 2.0 ATA. The pressure of 1.5 ATA and 60 pressure exposures can be used as one of the optimal protocols of HBOT. Further studies are needed to optimize the protocol per specific patient.

#### Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

# References

- Krishnamurthi RV, Feigin VL, Forouzanfar MH, et al. Global and regional burden of first-ever ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke during 1990–2010: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet Glob Health 2013;1:e259–e281.
- Poon MT, Fonville AF, Al-Shahi Salman R. Long-term prognosis after intracerebral haemorrhage: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr 2014;85:660–667.
- Lee CH, Lee L, Yang KJ, et al. Top-cited articles on hyperbaric oxygen therapy published from 2000–2010. Undersea Hyperb Med 2012;39:1089–1098.
- 4. Li X, Sun Z, Chen J, et al. Timing of postoperative hyperbaric oxygen therapy in patients with hypertensive intracerebral haemorrhage and its impact on complicated stress ulcer bleeding. Chinese General Practice 2011;14:3101–3103. Chinese.
- Chinese Neurosurgery Association. Cerebrovascular disease classification and diagnosis. Chin J Neurosurg 1997;13:2–3. Chinese.
- Hallevi H, Dar NS, Barreto AD, et al. The IVH score: a novel tool for estimating intraventricular hemorrhage volume: clinical and research implications. Crit Care Med 2009;37:969–974 e1.
- Anderson CS, Huang Y, Wang JG, et al. Intensive blood pressure reduction in acute cerebral haemorrhage trial (INTERACT): a randomised pilot trial. Lancet Neurol 2008;7:391–399.
- Antihypertensive Treatment of Acute Cerebral Hemorrhage (ATACH) investigators. Antihypertensive treatment of acute cerebral hemorrhage. Crit Care Med 2010;38:637–648.
- Manning L, Hirakawa Y, Arima H, et al. Blood pressure variability and outcome after acute intracerebral haemorrhage: a post-hoc analysis of INTERACT2, a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Neurol 2014;13:364–373.
- Li X, Sun Z, Zhao W, et al. Effect of acetylsalicylic acid usage and platelet transfusion on postoperative hemorrhage and activities of daily living in patients with acute intracerebral hemorrhage. J Neurosurg 2013;118:94–103.
- Torres A, Plans G, Martino J, et al. Fibrinolytic therapy in spontaneous intraventricular haemorrhage: efficacy and safetyof the treatment. Br J Neurosurg 2008;22:269–274.
- Naff NJ, Hanley DF, Keyl PM, et al. Intraventricular thrombolysis speeds blood clot resolution: results of a pilot, prospective, randomized, double-blind, controlled trial. Neurosurgery 2004;54:577–583. discussion 583–584.
- Bai Y, Hu Y, Wu Y, et al. A prospective, randomized, single-blinded trial on the effect of early rehabilitation on daily activities and motor function of patients with hemorrhagic stroke. J Clin Neurosci 2012; 19:1376–1379.

- 14. Cook D, Guyatt G, Marshall J, et al. A comparison of sucralfate and ranitidine for the prevention of upper gastrointestinal bleeding in patients requiring mechanical ventilation. Canadian Critical Care Trials Group. N Engl J Med 1998;338:791–797.
- Cook DJ, Griffith LE, Walter SD, et al. The attributable mortality and length of intensive care unit stay of clinically important gastrointestinal bleeding in critically ill patients. Crit Care 2001;5:368–375.
- Quenot JP, Thiery N, Barbar S. When should stress ulcer prophylaxis be used in the ICU? Curr Opin Crit Care 2009;15:139–143.
- Bardou M, Quenot JP, Barkun A. Stress-related mucosal disease in the critically ill patient. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;12: 98–107.
- hadanny A, Meir O, Bechor Y, et al. The safety of hyperbaric oxygen treatment—retrospective analysis in 2,334 patients. Undersea Hyperb Med 2016;43:113–122.
- 19. Hemphill JC 3rd, Greenberg SM, Anderson CS, et al. Guidelines for the Management of Spontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage: A Guideline for Healthcare Professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke 2015;46:2032–2060.
- Gonzales NR. Ongoing clinical trials in intracerebral hemorrhage. Stroke 2013;44(6 Suppl 1):S70–S73.
- Tsai CF, Thomas B, Sudlow CL. Epidemiology of stroke and its subtypes in Chinese vs white populations: a systematic review. Neurology 2013;81:264–272.
- Wang W, Jiang B, Sun H, et al. Prevalence, Incidence, and Mortality of Stroke in China: Results from a Nationwide Population-Based Survey of 480 687 Adults. Circulation 2017;135:759–771.
- 23. van Asch CJ, Luitse MJ, Rinkel GJ, et al. Incidence, case fatality, and functional outcome of intracerebral haemorrhage over time, according to age, sex, and ethnic origin: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Neurol 2010;9:167–176.
- Siddique MS, Fernandes HM, Wooldridge TD, et al. Reversible ischemia around intracerebral hemorrhage: a single-photon emission computerized tomography study. J Neurosurg 2002;96:736–741.
- Efrati S, Fishlev G, Bechor Y, et al. Hyperbaric oxygen induces late neuroplasticity in post stroke patients—randomized, prospective trial. PLoS One 2013;8:e53716.
- 26. Lou M, Zhang H, Wang J, et al. Hyperbaric oxygen treatment attenuated the decrease in regional glucose metabolism of rats subjected to focal cerebral ischemia: a high resolution positron emission tomography study. Neuroscience 2007;146:555–561.
- Rockswold SB, Rockswold GL, Zaun DA, et al. A prospective, randomized clinical trial to compare the effect of hyperbaric to normobaric hyperoxia on cerebral metabolism, intracranial pressure, and oxygen toxicity in severe traumatic brain injury. J Neurosurg 2010; 112:1080–1094.
- Zhu HT, Bian C, Yuan JC, et al. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy ameliorates acute brain injury after porcine intracerebral hemorrhage at high altitude. Crit Care 2015;19:255.
- **29.** Camporesi EM, Bosco G. Mechanisms of action of hyperbaric oxygen therapy. Undersea Hyperb Med 2014;41:247–252.
- Drenjancevic I, Kibel A. Restoring vascular function with hyperbaric oxygen treatment: recovery mechanisms. J Vasc Res 2014;51:1–13.
- **31.** Lim SW, Wang CC, Wang YH, et al. Microglial activation induced by traumatic brain injury is suppressed by postinjury treatment with hyperbaric oxygen therapy. J Surg Res 2013;184:1076–1084.
- 32. Yang ZJ, Xie Y, Bosco GM, et al. Hyperbaric oxygenation alleviates MCAO-induced brain injury and reduces hydroxyl radical formation and glutamate release. Eur J Appl Physiol 2010;108:513–522.
- 33. Boussi-Gross R, Golan H, Fishlev G, et al. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy can improve post concussion syndrome years after mild traumatic brain injury - randomized prospective trial. PLoS One 2013; 8:e79995.
- Efrati S, Ben-Jacob E. Reflections on the neurotherapeutic effects of hyperbaric oxygen. Expert Rev Neurother 2014;14:233–236.

- Lee YS, Chio CC, Chang CP, et al. Long course hyperbaric oxygen stimulates neurogenesis and attenuates inflammation after ischemic stroke. Mediators Inflamm 2013;2013:512978.
- 36. Shapira R, Solomon B, Efrati S, et al. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy ameliorates pathophysiology of 3xTg-AD mouse model by attenuating neuroinflammation. Neurobiol Aging 2018;62: 105–119.
- Simsek K, Ozler M, Yildirim AO, et al. Evaluation of the oxidative effect of long-term repetitive hyperbaric oxygen exposures on different brain regions of rats. ScientificWorldJournal 2012;2012: 849183.
- Zhou C, Li Y, Nanda A, et al. HBO suppresses Nogo-A, Ng-R, or RhoA expression in the cerebral cortex after global ischemia. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2003;309:368–376.
- 39. Tal S, Hadanny A, Sasson E, et al. Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Can Induce Angiogenesis and Regeneration of Nerve Fibers in Traumatic Brain Injury Patients. Front Hum Neurosci 2017;11: 508.
- Hays SA, Khodaparast N, Hulsey DR, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation during rehabilitative training improves functional recovery after intracerebral hemorrhage. Stroke 2014;45:3097–3100.
- Steindler DA, Pincus DW. Stem cells and neuropoiesis in the adult human brain. Lancet 2002;359:1047–1054.
- 42. Yang YJ, Wang XL, Yu XH, et al. Hyperbaric oxygen induces endogenous neural stem cells to proliferate and differentiate in hypoxicischemic brain damage in neonatal rats. Undersea Hyperb Med 2008;35:113–129.
- 43. Gallagher KA, Liu ZJ, Xiao M, et al. Diabetic impairments in NOmediated endothelial progenitor cell mobilization and homing are reversed by hyperoxia and SDF-1 alpha. J Clin Invest 2007;117: 1249–1259.
- 44. Goldstein LJ, Gallagher KA, Bauer SM, et al. Endothelial progenitor cell release into circulation is triggered by hyperoxia-induced increases in bone marrow nitric oxide. Stem Cells 2006;24: 2309–2318.
- **45.** Mezey E, Key S, Vogelsang G, et al. Transplanted bone marrow generates new neurons in human brains. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003; 100:1364–1369.
- Cogle CR, Yachnis AT, Laywell ED, et al. Bone marrow transdifferentiation in brain after transplantation: a retrospective study. Lancet 2004;363:1432–1437.
- Holbach KH, Caroli A, Wassmann H. Cerebral energy metabolism in patients with brain lesions of normo- and hyperbaric oxygen pressures. J Neurol 1977;217:17–30.
- Collet JP, Vanasse M, Marois P, et al. Hyperbaric oxygen for children with cerebral palsy: a randomised multicentre trial. HBO-CP Research Group. Lancet 2001;357:582–586.
- Weaver LK, Churchill SK, Bell J, et al. A blinded trial to investigate whether 'pressure-familiar' individuals can determine chamber pressure. Undersea Hyperb Med 2012;39:801–805.
- Shibata S, Iwasaki K, Ogawa Y, et al. Cardiovascular neuroregulation during acute exposure to 40, 70, and 100% oxygen at sea level. Aviat Space Environ Med 2005;76:1105–1110.
- Lund V, Kentala E, Scheinin H, et al. Hyperbaric oxygen increases parasympathetic activity in professional divers. Acta Physiol Scand 2000;170:39–44.
- Yano S, Akahane M, Harada M. Role of gastric motility in development of stress-induced gastric lesions of rats. Jpn J Pharmacol 1978; 28:607–615.
- Livingston EH, Howard TJ, Garrick TR, et al. Strong gastric contractions cause mucosal ischemia. Am J Physiol 1991;260(3 Pt 1): G524–530.
- Ephgrave KS, Cullen JJ, Broadhurst K, et al. Gastric contractions, secretions and injury in cold restraint. Neurogastroenterol Motil 1997; 9:187–192.

- 55. Eschenfelder CC, Krug R, Yusofi AF, et al. Neuroprotection by oxygen in acute transient focal cerebral ischemia is dose dependent and shows superiority of hyperbaric oxygenation. Cerebrovasc Dis 2008; 25:193–201.
- 56. Serebrianskaia MV, Masenko VP. Dynamics of prostaglandin E content in patients with duodenal ulcer various treatment regimens. Klin Med (Mosk) 1993;71:45–47. Russian.
- 57. Yamazaki F, Wada F, Nagaya K, et al. Autonomic mechanisms of bradycardia during nitrox exposure at 3 atmospheres absolute in humans. Aviat Space Environ Med 2003; 74(6 Pt 1):643-648.
- Cifu DX, Hart BB, West SL, et al. The effect of hyperbaric oxygen on persistent postconcussion symptoms. J Head Trauma Rehabil 2014;29:11–20.